International Council for Exploration of the Sea



C.H. 1990/J: 16 Ref. H

On the Separation of catch Projections for a Stock with Seasonal Fisheries in Different Areas: Herring Assessment Unit Division IIIa and Western Baltic Sea

by Otto Rechlin

Institut fuer Hochseefischerei An der Jaegerbaeck 2 2520 Rostock 5 German Democratic Republic



Digitalization sponsored by Thünen-Institut

Abstract

With the present paper it is proposed to split the predicted catches of the herring stock unit Western Baltic/Division IIIa not only according to the areas but also in accordance to the two temporal and spatial different fishing seasons. It is pointed out that for such seasonal fisheries the prediction for the second half of the year has to take into account the fishing mortality during the first season. With examples from the VPA for the stock the difference is shown between predictions based on half yearly and on full year fisheries.

1. Introduction

A spring spawning herring population is migrating for feeding and spawning between the Western Baltic Sea and the Skagerak/ Eastern North Sea - area in the course of every year. Its spawning sites are located mainly in the Western Baltic (Subdivisions 24 and 22) and partly along the coast of the Kattegat. Adult herring feed in Division IIIa and off the norwegian southwest coast in summer.

The fishery for this herring is carried out during the prespawning/spawning period in the Western Baltic in the first half of the year and during the time of feeding migrations in Division IIIa/Eastern North Sea in the second half of the year, respectively. Smaller amounts of herring from the same population are caught in both areas also outside the respective main fishery seasons.

With its migration the herring crosses the border between areas under the responsibility of different fishery management bodies who are setting yearly TAC's on the base of scientific advice.

Thus the migration of the herring, resulting in two main fishery seasons, causes the need for a separation of catch predictions.

2. Material and Methods

The Working Group on Assessment of Pelagic Stocks in the Baltic tried different ways for assessing stocks size and predicting catch of the combined herring stock in the Western Baltic and Division IIIa. The first assessments were run on half year base. Later the VPA was run on year-base and the prediction was splitted for Western Baltic and Division IIIa on the base of catch in number in both areas and given per year and area.

For the present paper trial runs of predicted catches for the years 1981, 1983 and 1985 have been compared with the results of VPA in the same years by using the data base and results of the Working Group (Anon. 1989). The prediction was carried out according to the following steps:

- prediction of catch in number by age groups separately for Western Baltic and Division IIIa for the first half of the year
- calculation of the stock in number by age groups diminished by the total mortality in both areas in the first half year and left for the second half year
- prediction of catch in number by age groups separately for Western Baltic and Division IIIa for the second half of the year

The highest coincidence of prediction and VPA results for the years mentioned was achieved when for both areas the fishing and the natural mortality rates were taken as 50 % of the total yearly rates for the first and the second half year, respectively. The total fishing mortality for both areas was split according to the catch in number by age group.

For comparison a prediction of catch in number per age group for a non seasonal fishery (step one for the entire year) was carried out.

The following equations have been used for prediction of catch in number per age group:

$$C,SI = NiI * (F,S/2) / (Z,S/2) * 1 - exp { (-Z,S/2) (1)}$$

NiII = NiI * exp {
$$-[(Z,S/2) + (Z,F/2)]$$
 (2)

C,SII = NiII
$$\times$$
 (F,S/2) / (Z,S/2) \times 1- exp { (- Z,F/2) (3)

$$C,FI = WiI \times (F,F/2) / (S,F/2) \times 1 - exp ((-S,F/2) (4)$$

$$C,FII = NiII * (F,F/2) / (Z,F/2) * 1- exp { (- Z,F/2) } (5)$$

Where (per age group) C,SI is catch in number, Western Baltic, first half year; NiI ist stock in number, first January; F,S is fishing mortality rate, M-Baltic; Z,S is total mortality rate, M-Baltic; NiII is stock in number first July, C,SII is catch in number W-Baltic, second half year; F,F is fishing mortality rate, IIIa; Z,F is total mortality rate IIIa; C,FII is catch in number, IIIa, second half year.

The data base for the test of predictions is shown in table 1.

3. Results

Catches in number by age groups predicted according to the database in table 1 for three different years are shown in table 2. A good coincidence of predicted catches with the data in table 1 can be seen when comparing the columns "total catch in number" in table 1 and 2, age group by age group.

On the other hand the comparison of the predicted catch in a non seasonal fishery (table 3, total) shows coincidence with the database only from age δ onwards.

The main difference between "seasonal" and "non seasonal" prediction is, that the first takes into account the proportion of natural and fishing mortality effective in the first half of the year and starts the second half year with a correspondingly diminished stock size.

It becomes quite obvious from the results, the separation of catch prediction based on a non seasonal fishery increases the prediction of total catches. A split of catches in areal components using this method may raise the TAC's and hence result in an exploitation rate for the stock higher than anticipated.

The prediction of "seasonal" (half yearly) catches as shown with the example in table 2 is not in fact a seasonal prediction. Therefore only the column "total" gives a useful result, whereas the half yearly catches by area do not mirror the seasonal catches. The main reason for this is that the fishing mortality effective to the stock has been splitted according to the areas on the base of yearly catches in number by age. For the same reason the "seasonal" calculation of predicted catch by using the total mortality (Z) divided by two gives the coincidence in column "total".

If there is for both of the areas the catch in numbers available on a half yearly base for splitting the yearly fishing mortality according to area and season it will be possible to predict really seasonal catches.

The main conclusion to be drawn from this exercise is, however, one has to take into account in a prediction the fishing mortality effective to the stock during the first season when there are two fishing seasons for one stock, clearly different in space and time in the course of the year.

A prediction for the second season has to be started with a stock diminished by Z during the first season. Otherwise, a TAC based on the prediction may not have the influence on the stock for what it is aimed for.

5. References

Anon. 1989. Report of the Working Group on Assessment of Pelagic Stocks in the Baltic. ICES, Doc. C.M. 1989/Assess: 14

Table 1 VPA data base for test of prediction

ca	t	C	n	e	S

		1981			1983		1	985	
Ag	c,s	C,F	total	c,s	C,F	total	c,s	C,F	total
2 3 4 5 6 7 8+	302 279 211 52 17	703 188 66 6 1	1005 467 277 58 18 4	334 361 290 35 12 2	516 124 58 4 2	850 485 348 39 14 2	312 416 218 97 25 4	537 428 135 11 10 3	849 844 353 108 35 7 6
fish	ning mor	taliti	es						
Ag	S	F	total	S	F	total	S	F	total
2 3 4 5 6 7 8+	.12 .55 .81 .99 1.21 1.51	.31 .37 .25 .11 .007	.43 .92 1.06 1.10 1.28 1.51	.18 .48 .68 .55 .54 .56	.27 .17 .13 .06 .009	.45 .65 .81 .61 .63 .56	.14 .35 .56 .94 .70 .55	.25 .37 .34 .11 .28 .41	.39 .72 .90 1.05 .98 .96
sto	ck size								
		1981			1983			1985	
Ag	Ni	I	NiII	NiI	1	NiII	NiI		NiII
234567		5	2305 483 245 49 13	2591 1111 684 93	3	1863 723 411 62 22	2896 1789 647 180 61		2187 1129 373 91 34

Table 2 Prediction, seasonal fishery

				1981	
G	C,SI	c,sii	C,SI+II	C,FI	
		tourio (CVC)	5 1		

AG	C,SI	C,SII	C,SI+II	C,FI	C,FII	C,FI+II	total
2345678+	163 131 140 34 12 3	119 100 73 18 5	282 281 213 52 17 4	407 117 41 3 1	316 70 25 2 —	723 137 66 5 1	1005 468 279 57 18 4
				1983			
2345675	200 213 133 21 7	143 141 109 14 5 1	343 359 292 35 12 2	283 73 32 2 1	222 53 23 2 1	510 126 55 4 2	353 485 347 39 14 2
				1935			
2 3 4 5 6 7 3+	176 258 139 63 16	131 154 80 32 9	307 412 219 95 24 4	302 258 85 7 6 2	243 172 49 4 1	545 430 134 11 10 3	847 842 353 106 34 7 6

Table 3 Prediction for full year fishery

	198	31		198	63.		198	35	
AG	0,5	C,F	total	C,S	C,F	total	C,S	C,F	total
2 3 4 5 6 7 3+	324 327 234 54 13 4	767 238 93 9 2	1091 565 327 63 20 4	338 387 309 36 12 2	535 158 76 5 2	946 545 385 41 14 2	344 482 254 101 28 5	583 504 170 17 14 4	927 986 424 118 38 8 7